

SOD THIS

brain burps for the tech savvy

[www.sodthis.com](http://www.sodthis.com)



Oliver Sturm

think**texture**  
Associate



# Who's that Oliver Sturm guy, anyway?

I am

Interested in programming languages, databases,  
DevExpress and other things

Microsoft MVP for C#

An Associate Consultant at thinkteckture

I do

Provide Consulting and Training Services for  
.NET and DevExpress Products

Blog at <http://www.sturmnet.org/blog>

Podcast at <http://www.sodthis.com>

You should

Email me at [oliver@oliversturm.com](mailto:oliver@oliversturm.com)

Follow me on Twitter @olivers

Go to <http://www.oliversturm.com> and check it out!

# Agenda

- The many-core shift
- Concurrency using Parallel Extensions to .NET
  - ... and what we still need to do ourselves
- Relevant functional approaches
  - Black belt list filtering
  - The amazing parallel Mandelbrot

# The many-core shift is upon us

- For some weird and highly physical reasons, single CPU cores don't get much faster anymore
- Instead, we have lots of CPU cores
  - Some estimations show that we might have around 256 (per CPU) within 10 years
  - This is true for all types of computers by now, not restricted to high-perf or server scenarios
- Result: if programs don't parallelize, they use an increasingly small percentage of the processing power that's available

# Concurrency — really relevant?

- “Compute intensive tasks” are those that benefit from concurrency – obviously not all tasks are in that category
- But: what percentage of available computing power do you want to turn down? 50% (two cores, average laptop in 2009)? 75% (four cores, average desktop in 2009)? More?

# Multi-threading vs. Concurrency vs. Parallelism

- Things going on on a single core can be multi-threaded
  - Perceived perf gains through “concurrent” updates
  - Hiding latency (network queries, ...)
- Executing things literally concurrently or “in parallel” means (almost) the same to me
- Important point: multiple cores come with an opportunity to benefit from concurrency – this shouldn’t be missed!

# Doing the splits

- The idea of having lots of processes and just one processor is old
- Now things change: several CPUs, more cores, too few tasks
- We need to split up large tasks in lots of chunks
  - The more chunks, the better – parallelism frameworks are more efficient that way



# Demo

- TreeWalker
- Filtered list in the UI





# Did you think that was ugly?


I wasn't even using array resizing!

# Data access the way it shouldn't be




displayPeople  
displayCount


# Data access the way it shouldn't be



# Data access the way it shouldn't be



# Data access the way it shouldn't be





# Concurrency frameworks

- Example: Parallel Extensions to the .NET Framework
- Technical hurdles to multi-threading reduced considerably
- Data/state sharing somewhat simplified
  - Thread-safe data structures
  - Advanced mechanisms like software transactional memory
- Locking is becoming cheaper, but...

# In the end, locks are bad

- Locks are expensive
  - They limit the amount of parallelization we can use
  - They carry a low-level cost
- They are structurally complicated to work with
- Result: the fewer locks we use, the better
- Algorithms should be structured carefully to work with data in conflicting ways as rarely as possible

# Functional approaches...

- ... aren't strictly necessary for concurrency
- ... offer one approach to a code structure which lends itself well to parallelization
- ... are often used in optimization efforts without awareness of their origin
- At the core of FP ideas is function purity
- ... which results – optimally – in the absence of global data/state

# Functional approaches...

- ... aren't strictly necessary for concurrency
- ... offer one approach to a code structure which lends itself well to parallelization
- ... are often used in optimization efforts without awareness of their origin
- At the core of FP ideas is function purity
- ... which results – optimally – in the absence of global data/state

**What a coincidence!**


# Functional approaches and concurrency

- There are “only” two approaches that are really important for concurrency
  - Try to work with immutable data
  - Try not to have data outside functions
- This won't work all the time, so don't worry
- It's harder in imperative languages, because they don't enforce the discipline
- The lack of support for tail recursion is a problem sometimes



# Demo

- Filtered list in the UI (new and improved version)



# How this demo got better

# How this demo got better

- 11 fewer lines of code!

# How this demo got better

- 11 fewer lines of code!
- Okay, seriously:
  - Simple functions with just a return or a statement
  - Easy to parallelize, since the algorithm is now encapsulated in one function


# How this demo got better

- 11 fewer lines of code!
- Okay, seriously:
  - Simple functions with just a return or a statement
  - Easy to parallelize, since the algorithm is now encapsulated in one function
- But:
  - Data is regarded as immutable, but mutable data structures are still being used
  - One class-level field left



# Demo

- Filtered list in the UI (supercharged functional version)




# What happened this time

- Yeah yeah... another 8 lines saved
- All functions are pure now
- Fully functional flow of data, no more storage outside functions
- But:
  - Event handlers are delegates that use closures, Windows Forms designer doesn't have a clue about this sort of thing

# Demo

- Filtered list in the UI (Black Ninja version)




# Parallelization in place

- “Declarative Data Parallelism” is what PLINQ provides
- Changing the source of a LINQ query to `IParallelEnumerable` by calling `AsParallel()` on an `IEnumerable` takes care of concurrency automatically

# Demo

- Drawing Mandelbrot Fractals





# Summary

- Frameworks like the .NET Parallel Extensions are great
- ... but they don't do our structural work for us
- Functional approaches bring a useful discipline to the imperative C# language

# Thank you

Please feel free to contact me about the content anytime.

[oliver@oliversturm.com](mailto:oliver@oliversturm.com)

